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Twitter is a social media service that has managed very successfully to embed itself deeply in the daily lives of its users. Its short message length (140 characters), and one-way connections (‘following’ rather than ‘friending’), lead themselves effectively to random and regular updates on almost any form of personal or professional activity. Thus, it has found uses from the interpersonal (e.g. Boyd et al., 2010) through crisis communication (e.g. Bruns et al., 2012), to political debate (e.g. Burgess & Bruns, 2012). In such uses, Twitter does not necessarily replace existing media channels, such as broadcasting or online mainstream media, but often complements them, providing its users with alternative opportunities to contribute more actively to the wider media sphere. This is true especially where Twitter is used alongside television, as a simple backchannel to live programming or for more sophisticated uses. In this article, we outline four aspects and dimensions, of the way that the old medium of television intersects, and in some cases, interacts with the new medium of Twitter. Tweeting about the television has always been a social media form. It has also consistently provided key ‘talking points’ for western societies. Ever since the notion of an ‘active’ audience was firmly entrenched in media studies several decades ago, it has been recognised as a medium that readily catalyses audience discussion, interaction, fandom and other social activity. Twitter has become an important backchannel through which such social activity is sustained and made more widely visible. Deller (2011: 225) notes that television shows, or topics related to them, frequently appear in Twitter’s ‘trending topics’. For example, recent market research suggests that viewers now use social media with considerable enthusiasm to engage with television programs, particularly where there are explicit on-screen prompts, such as dedicated hashtags (Broadcast Engineering, 2012).

Used this way, Twitter and services alike, become a kind of virtual loungeroom, connecting the active audiences of specific TV shows at an unprecedented scale and thereby amplifying audience activities even further. This is the case especially for live television (from first run drama and reality TV screenings to politics and sports), where the shared
sense of watching a show together is especially heightened; here, Twitter becomes a metaphorical ‘watercooler’ in the cloud, but one where the watercooler conversations take place instantly, rather than at work the following morning. For audiences with access to social media on a second screen, the experience of watching television thus becomes an even more communal one.

Tweets as TV audience research

This instant audience feedback, originally intended for other viewers but also available to broadcasters and researchers, in turn provides potentially a very rich stream of data; representing “empirical evidence ... of how other people make sense of the world” (McKee, 2003: 15), growing at an exponential rate. This data stream presents a significant opportunity for researchers seeking to understand the processes of television “audiencing” (Fiske, 1992), in addition to more conventional approaches to audience measurement. The in-depth, minute-by-minute, quantitative and qualitative data which now surrounds television in the form of tweets, is ripe for analysis. It provides the basis for a more sophisticated, immediate measurement and understanding of audience activity.

A number of obvious opportunities emerge in this context: first, it becomes possible simply to track the total Twitter activity surrounding a show (and its associated hashtag) over the course of the screening. This provides an insight into the audience’s reaction to key moments of the show at a temporal resolution, which cannot be matched by most other audience measurement approaches. (Bruns, 2011a, shows user activity around the royalwedding hashtag during the global telecast of the British royal wedding, for example). Such measurements may also be used to explore audience reactions to candidates during televised political debates. Second, the major contributors to Twitter debates may be identified, and these key enthusiasts may be harnessed for the further promotion of future episodes. Finally, qualitative analysis of key themes and topics of discussion over the course of the show, provides important feedback about the strengths and weaknesses of a program, well beyond what may be identified through the inherently artificial device of audience interviews and focus groups (see e.g. Bruns, 2011b, for an indication of audience reactions to the contestants on Australian reality TV show Go Back to Where You Came From). Such analysis may also be usefully combined with conventional audience ratings and feedback tools. Combining these techniques enables researchers to understand audiences in an increasingly converged media environment (Simons, 2011). They constitute audience research tools fit for multi-platform transmedia content (Jenkins, 2006).

Tweeting for TV

Not only do audiences tweet about what they see, but television programs themselves can be integrated partly or fully around the input provided by the viewers via social media. In such cases, producers leverage the audience conversations that occur on Twitter, and to some extent incorporate those tweets back into the show itself. In other words, Twitter...
becomes not only a backchannel for the show, but it also becomes a part of the show itself. This has become increasingly common practice and is facilitated largely through the promotion of dedicated hashtags relating to a show, and/or through the show’s dedicated Twitter account. Australian breakfast television shows, such as ‘Sunrise’, has a history of incorporating viewer voices and feedback that predates social media (Harrington, 2010). They regularly ask people to provide their thoughts about daily news topics through Twitter mentions of their official account. Similarly, the Australian Broadcasting Corporation’s live talkshow Q&A, which focuses mainly on political themes, asks its audience to use the #qanda hashtag, and it promotes the best tweets (often the most clever, incisive or funny) by displaying them at the bottom of the screen. Such activities raise the potential of making television a more interactive, dialogical experience (beyond the traditional broadcast model). The extent to which such interactivity might be incorporated into live television formats, has yet to be explored in full. Indeed, entirely new television formats may arise to leverage such interactivity more effectively.

**New, Twitter-enhanced ways of watching TV**

Clearly, increased uses of Twitter alongside television (as a simple backchannel, or in more sophisticated, transmedia contexts), may add a new dimension (and new pleasures) to the experience of being ‘an audience’ for television. At the very least, Twitter provides a new channel for the conversations that have always occurred around television, but in doing so, how might the platform affect and change television itself, and the audiences who watch it? To begin with, it is interesting to note that the importance of synchronous co-presence in Twitter based social media discussions, could well re-entrench synchronicity in television viewings, and make viewers less likely to use time shifting technologies (PVRs etc.). Twitter enhanced television viewing, privileges the live event because it requires the gathering of a social media community on the same platform and at the same time. The impact here is similar to that of the unauthorised distribution of shows. Television networks may be increasingly less inclined to delay broadcasts and series, as this would serve to dissipate the social media ‘buzz’. Conversely, a strong Twitter resonance may also be a boon to advertisers because Twitter enhanced TV watching is incompatible with time shifting, and Twitter television audiences are more likely to be exposed to commercials.

Beyond this enhancement of shared, live television experiences, Twitter may find its uses in a much greater range of television contexts which have yet to be fully explored. Transmedia content does not need to be live to make use of a wide range of media channels and platforms, and Twitter can play a role also in the anticipation and follow-on discussion of television shows. It may also be used to maintain a show’s momentum in between weekly screenings or between the seasons, for example. Here, Twitter would be used more to sustain a community of enthusiasts and to facilitate their interactions with the program makers, rather than for (or in addition to) live interaction during the broadcast itself.
Conclusion

The relationship between television and the social media is a very complex one, but the point of this article is to sketch out the possible forms of that relationship, and its implications for the engagement between audiences and television content. A wide range of additional questions emerge from this, including:

- What social media strategies can and should television networks employ to facilitate and manage audience interactions?
- If networks make overt attempts to catalyse Twitter discussions, what resistance tactics against such takeovers might some audience members engage in?
- What programs are not conducive to this form of engagement, and therefore limit the potential applications of social media as television enhancements?
- How does research into television audiences’ social media activities compare and connect with more conventional television ratings and market research approaches?

Such questions are necessarily well beyond the scope of this brief introduction. They are, however, questions that television and social media researchers are beginning to confront in their work. Twitter and other social networks become more popular and normalised as platforms for our everyday interactions, and networks and producers begin to further embed social media initiatives into their content and programming strategies.
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